

2021 RESULTS

The results share each participant's environmental performance in 2021 within each applicable performance indicator on the program's 1-to-5 scale.

SCALE OF LEVELS



COMPREHENSIVE VERIFICATION

With Green Marine's performance indicators and related criteria always undergoing annual review and revision when required, nothing and nobody can remain stagnant.

This equally applies to the external verifiers who make certain every two years that each participant has correctly reported performance levels for every applicable indicator and has the data and/or documentation to support that level. To ensure that the **third-party independent verifiers** themselves fully understand all of the program's changing requirements, Green Marine undertakes to conduct regular training with them as well as holding question and answer sessions when new topics or particular concerns arise. Green Marine has also implemented a quality assurance process to make certain that the verification is carried out with the same consistent rigour by all of the accredited verifiers.

Last year, the pandemic and its related travel restrictions forced Green Marine to develop new guidelines for its accredited verifiers to conduct their verifications remotely with the compulsory face-to-face discussion taking place through videoconferencing if an in-person or on-site visit by the verifier was deemed unsafe. The revised guidelines ensured that the verification process was done in the same thorough and rigorous manner.

With some pandemic restrictions still in place during the early months of 2022, Green Marine allowed for the remote verification process again. Nevertheless, a majority (53%) of verified participants were visited on site by the verifier.

SMART REPORTING

The Smart Guide, which is Green Marine's online reporting tool for participants, must also be regularly updated to provide accurate responses and subsequent data. Fully rolled out for verifiers in time for the reporting on 2021 performance, it has made it easier for verifiers and participants to share detailed information in confidence ahead of a site visit. This behind-the-scenes work has brought its share of technical challenges but is ultimately worth it to be able to offer this convenience to participants, and for Green Marine to have the ability to better assess and utilize the gathered information for overall data reporting.

INTERPRETATION NOTES

The term n.a. (not applicable) appears several times in the report's tables because the issues addressed by the program do not necessarily apply to all participants. For example, a container terminal doesn't handle dry bulk commodities. An n.a. denotation could also refer to a situation in which a participant does not have full control over the operations on its premises. For example, a port cannot apply the Green Marine criteria where a terminal operator is in charge of facilities. Many port authorities oversee the leasing of port property and do not themselves operate terminals.

The published results indicate each participant's self-evaluated and subsequently verified performance for each of the Green Marine program's applicable indicators. While the program's self-evaluation is comprehensive, it is not an exhaustive assessment of all environmental matters related to a participant's maritime operations. Green Marine has not itself evaluated the environmental performance of the participating enterprises.



SHIP OWNERS

	AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES	AIR EMISSIONS (SOX & PM)	AIR EMISSIONS (NOX)	GREENHOUSE GASES	OILY DISCHARGE	WASTE MANAGEMENT	UNDERWATER NOISE	SHIP RECYCLING
Algoma Central Corporation	4	4	3	4	5	4	4	4
Atlantic Towing Limited	3	4	3	3	3	4	4	2
Bay Ferries Limited	n.a.	2	2	2	2	2	2	n.a.
British Columbia Ferry Services Inc.	n.a.	3	3	3	2	2	4	1
Canada Steamship Lines	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	4
Canfornav	5	4	3	4	5	5	4	3
Coastal Shipping	2	3	2	2	2	3	2	2
Croisières AML	n.a.	3	3	3	4	4	4	1
CSL International	4	4	3	3	4	5	4	3
CTMA Group	2	3	3	2	2	3	3	1
Federal Fleet Services	3	2	3	3	2	4	2	5
Fednav Limited	5	3	4	4	4	5	4	5
Fishermen's Finest, Inc.	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
FRS Clipper*	n.a.	3	2	2	2	2	1	1
Great Lakes Towing Company	n.a.	2	2	2	3	2	n.a.	1
Groupe Desgagnés	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4
Horizon Maritime	4	3	3	3	5	3	4	3
Interlake Steamship Company	4	2	2	2	2	2	n.a.	1
Laurentian Pilotage Authority	n.a.	3	2	2	2	3	4	1
Lower Lakes Towing Ltd	3	4	3	2	2	2	2	1
Marine Atlantic Inc.	n.a.	3	3	3	2	3	3	3
McAsphalt Marine Transportation Ltd.	5	5	3	3	3	3	2	1
McKeil Marine Limited	4	1	3	2	2	3	3	1
NEAS	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
North Arm Transportation Ltd.	n.a.	3	3	3	3	3	2	1
Northumberland Ferries Limited	n.a.	2	2	2	2	2	2	n.a.
Ocean Group – Harbour towing and Transportation	n.a.	5	3	2	2	2	2	1
Oceanex	3	4	4	3	5	4	4	1
Ontario Ministry of Transportation	n.a.	2	2	2	1	2	n.a.	1
Owen Sound Transportation Company	n.a.	2	2	2	2	2	n.a.	1
Puget Sound Pilots	n.a.	2	2	2	2	2	2	1
Reformar	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	1
Saam Towage Canada Inc.	n.a.	4	3	3	2	2	2	1
Seaspan Marine Transportation	n.a.	5	5	4	3	4	4	1
Secunda	3	2	2	2	2	3	2	2
Shaver Transportation Company	2	1	1	1	2	1	n.a.	1
Société des traversiers du Québec	n.a.	3	3	3	3	2	2	1
Washington State Ferries	n.a.	3	3	3	2	3	3	1

n.a.: non applicable

* New participant whose results have not yet been verified.





	GHG & AIR POLLUTANTS	SPILL PREVENTION & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	DRY BULK HANDLING AND STORAGE	COMMUNITY IMPACTS	ENVIRONMENTAL LEADERSHIP	WASTE MANAGEMENT	UNDERWATER NOISE
Alabama State Port Authority	4	2	2	2	3	3	n.a.
Bécancour Waterfront Industrial Park	3	2	n.a.	2	3	2	n.a.
Belledune Port Authority	1	3	n.a.	1	2	2	2
Canaveral Port Authority	2	5	n.a.	2	3	2	2
Duluth Seaway Port Authority	3	5	n.a.	5	4	2	n.a.
Greater Victoria Harbour Authority	2	4	n.a.	5	4	3	1
Halifax Port Authority	5	5	n.a.	4	5	5	2
Hamilton-Oshawa Port Authority	3	4	n.a.	4	4	4	n.a.
Illinois International Port District	1	4	n.a.	2	5	2	n.a.
Montréal Port Authority	5	4	n.a.	5	5	5	n.a.
Nanaimo Port Authority	3	2	n.a.	5	4	2	2
Northwest Seaport Alliance	5	4	n.a.	2	4	3	2
Port Alberni Port Authority	2	2	n.a.	2	3	3	1
Port Everglades	4	5	n.a.	5	4	4	4
Port Milwaukee	3	3	n.a.	2	3	2	n.a.
Port of Albany	2	2	n.a.	2	3	2	n.a.
Port of Anacortes	2	3	2	2	3	2	1
Port of Cleveland	3	5	n.a.	2	4	2	n.a.
Port of Corpus Christi	5	5	5	2	4	3	2
Port of Everett	1	4	3	2	3	2	1
Port of Galveston	2	2	n.a.	2	3	3	2
Port of Goderich	2	3	1	2	1	1	n.a.
Port of Gulfport (Mississippi State Port Authority)	2	3	1	2	3	1	1
Port of Hueneme	5	5	n.a.	5	5	5	2
Port of Indiana - Burns Harbor	2	4	n.a.	4	3	2	n.a.
Port of Indiana - Jeffersonville	2	2	n.a.	2	2	2	n.a.
Port of Indiana - Mount Vernon	2	3	n.a.	2	2	2	n.a.
Port of Monroe	2	2	4	5	3	4	n.a.
Port of New Orleans	3	5	n.a.	5	5	3	n.a.
Port of Olympia	1	4	3	2	2	2	1
Port of Redwood City	1	2	1	1	1	1	1
Port of Pensacola	2	4	1	2	1	2	1
Port of San Diego	5	5	n.a.	5	5	4	2
Port of Seattle	5	5	n.a.	5	5	5	2
Port of Stephenville	2	2	2	2	2	2	1
Port of Stockton	3	5	3	5	4	2	n.a.
Port of Valleyfield	2	2	n.a.	2	3	2	n.a.
Port Saint John	2	2	n.a.	2	4	5	2
PortsToronto	2	2	2	2	4	2	n.a.
Prince Rupert Port Authority	5	4	n.a.	5	5	4	3
Québec Port Authority	5	5	n.a.	5	5	5	n.a.
Saguenay Port Authority	3	4	n.a.	5	3	3	2
St. John's Port Authority, NL	2	2	n.a.	4	3	2	2
Sept-Îles Port Authority	3	3	3	3	4	3	2
Summerside Port Corporation	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Thunder Bay Port Authority	3	2	n.a.	2	3	2	n.a.
Trois-Rivières Port Authority	3	5	n.a.	4	4	2	n.a.
Vancouver Fraser Port Authority	5	5	n.a.	5	5	3	5
Greater Lafourche Port Commission/Port Fourchon	1	2	n.a.	1	1	1	1
Windsor Port Authority	2	5	n.a.	5	3	2	n.a.

n.a.: non applicable





TERMINALS

	GHG & AIR POLLUTANTS	SPILL PREVENTION & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	DRY BULK HANDLING AND STORAGE	COMMUNITY IMPACTS	ENVIRONMENTAL LEADERSHIP	WASTE MANAGEMENT
ABC Recycling Ltd.	4	2	n.a.	2	3	2
AltaGas	5	5	n.a.	5	5	3
Bay Ferries Limited	2	2	n.a.	2	3	2
British Columbia Ferry Services Inc.	2	2	n.a.	2	3	3
Ceres Terminals Inc. (Baltimore MD, Bayonne NJ, Benicia CA, Brunswick GA, Charleston SC, Duluth MN, Galveston TX, Houston TX, Jacksonville FL, Miami FL, New Orleans LA, Port Canaveral FL, Port Hueneme CA, Stockton CA, Tampa FL, and Vancouver BC)	3	5	5	3	5	3
Centre for Ocean Ventures & Entrepreneurship (COVE)	1	2	n.a.	2	1	1
DP World Prince Rupert Inc.	3	5	n.a.	5	5	3
Federal Marine Terminals Inc. (Burns Harbor, Hamilton, Milwaukee, Thorold, Albany, Eastport, Port Maitland, Tampa, Lake Charles)	3	3	2	2	3	2
G3 Canada Limited (Hamilton)	4	5	5	5	4	2
G3 Canada Limited (Québec)	4	3	3	3	3	2
G3 Canada Limited (Thunder Bay)	4	5	5	5	5	3
G3 Canada Limited (Trois-Rivières)	4	4	4	4	4	5
G3 Terminal Vancouver	5	5	5	5	5	5
GCT Global Container Terminals Inc. (GCT Bayonne, GCT Deltaport, GCT New York, GCT Vanterm)	5	5	n.a.	5	5	4
Glencore (Québec)	4	5	5	5	5	3
Groupe Desgagnés (Relais Nordik Sept-Îles)	3	3	n.a.	2	2	5
Groupe Somavrac - Fonbrai (Saguenay)	2	2	n.a.	2	1	2
Groupe Somavrac - Porlier Express (Sept-Îles)	3	3	n.a.	3	3	3
Groupe Somavrac - Servitank Inc. (Bécancour)	3	4	n.a.	2	2	2
Gulf Stream Marine, Inc. (Corpus Christi, Manchester, Care, Freeport, Brownsville)	3	3	n.a.	3	3	3
Kildair Service ULC	3	5	n.a.	2	3	3
Logistec Corporation (CA)	3	3	3	3	3	3
Logistec Corporation (US)	3	3	3	3	3	3
Marine Atlantic Inc.	3	3	n.a.	5	4	3
McInnis Cement Inc.	4	3	3	3	3	3
MetroPorts - Burns Harbor	2	2	1	2	1	1
Montreal Gateway Terminals Partnership	5	4	n.a.	4	5	3
Neptune Bulk Terminals (Canada) Ltd.	5	5	5	5	5	5
New Orleans Terminal LLC	3	5	n.a.	5	4	2
Norcan Petroleum Group Inc.	3	3	n.a.	3	3	3
Northumberland Ferries Limited	2	2	n.a.	2	3	2
Oceanex (St. John's)	2	2	n.a.	2	2	2
Owen Sound Transportation Company	2	2	n.a.	2	2	2
Pacific Coast Terminals Co. Ltd.	3	5	5	5	4	3
Patriot Stevedoring + Logistics	2	5	2	3	1	2
Picton Terminals	4	5	5	5	4	5
Ports America Group (Baltimore)	3	4	n.a.	2	2	2
PSA Halifax	5	5	n.a.	2	4	3
QSL AMERICA (NASCO, Empire Stevedoring New Orleans, Houston)	2	3	3	2	2	2
QSL CANADA (Anse au foulon, Argentinia, Baie-Comeau, Beauport, Bécancour, Belledune, Côte Ste-Catherine, Grande-Anse, Gros-Cacouna, Hamilton, Matane, Montreal – Terminal Bickerdike, Oshawa, Sept-Îles, Sorel-Tracy)	3	3	3	2	2	2
Rio Tinto (Port-Alfred)	5	5	5	5	5	3
Squamish Terminals Ltd (Member of The Western Group)	4	4	n.a.	5	5	5

n.a.: non applicable

TERMINALS

	GHG & AIR POLLUTANTS	SPILL PREVENTION & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	DRY BULK HANDLING AND STORAGE	COMMUNITY IMPACTS	ENVIRONMENTAL LEADERSHIP	WASTE MANAGEMENT
Sterling Fuels Limited (Windsor Terminal)	3	5	n.a.	3	4	3
Termont Montréal	5	2	n.a.	2	3	2
Tidal Coast Terminals Ltd.	3	2	2	n.a.	2	3
Trans Mountain (Westridge terminal)	3	3	n.a.	3	4	3
TraPac (Los Angeles)	5	5	n.a.	3	2	3
Trigon	5	5	5	5	5	5
Tymac Launch Service Ltd.	3	2	n.a.	2	2	2
Valero Energy (Jean-Gaulin Refinery)	4	4	n.a.	5	5	3
Valero Energy (Montreal-East Terminal)	3	4	n.a.	4	4	3
Valleytank	3	5	n.a.	2	2	2
Valport Maritime Services Inc.	3	2	2	2	2	2
Washington State Ferries	3	3	n.a.	2	3	3
Waterfront Petroleum Terminal Company	2	3	2	2	3	2
Waterson Terminal Services LLC	2	3	2	2	2	2
West Coast Reduction Ltd.	3	5	n.a.	5	2	3
Western Stevedoring Co. Ltd. (Lynnterm)	3	4	n.a.	4	4	3
Westshore Terminals	3	2	2	5	2	2
Yellowline Asphalt Products Ltd.	2	5	n.a.	5	2	2

SHIPYARDS

	GHG & AIR POLLUTANTS	SPILL PREVENTION & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	COMMUNITY IMPACTS	ENVIRONMENTAL LEADERSHIP	WASTE MANAGEMENT
Blount Boats	2	2	2	2	2
British Columbia Ferry Services Inc.	3	3	3	4	3
Great Lakes Shipyard	2	2	3	3	2
Heddle Shipyards	2	2	2	2	2
Hendry Marine Industries (Gulf Marine Repair)	1	2	1	1	1
Fincantieri ACE Marine	2	2	2	1	2
Fincantieri Marinette Marine	2	2	1	2	1
Motive Power Marine	2	3	2	2	3
Ocean Group - Ocean Industries Inc.	3	2	2	2	2
Point Hope Maritime Ltd.	2	5	4	3	2
RJ MacIsaac Construction	3	4	2	2	3
Seaspan Shipyards	3	4	4	4	5
Shelburne Ship Repair	1	2	1	2	2
Washington State Ferries	3	3	2	3	3

SEAWAY

	GHG & AIR POLLUTANTS	SPILL PREVENTION & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	COMMUNITY IMPACTS	ENVIRONMENTAL LEADERSHIP	WASTE MANAGEMENT
Great Lakes St. Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation / Saint Lawrence Seaway Management Corporation †	4.1	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.1

n.a.: non applicable

† Each Seaway corporation filed an individual evaluation to Green Marine and had its results separately verified, but they both opted to publish their results jointly to reflect their allied efforts in achieving environmental excellence. The published results are the weighted average of the individual results based on the number of locks managed by each Seaway corporation.